

AMERICAN FARM BUREAU FEDERATION®

225 TOUHY AVENUE • PARK RIDGE • ILLINOIS • 60068 • (847) 685-8600 • FAX (847) 685-8896
600 MARYLAND AVENUE S.W. • SUITE 800 • WASHINGTON, D.C. • 20024 • (202) 484-3600 • FAX (202) 484-3604

July 7, 2003

Ms. Tess Butler GIPSA, USDA 1400 Independence Ave., SW Room 1647-S Washington, D.C. 20250-3604

RE: GIPSA Study on Marketing Methods in the Livestock and Red Meat Industries; Federal Register Notice May 30, 2003, pages 32455-32458

Dear Ms. Butler:

The American Farm Bureau Federation (AFBF) whose membership includes the majority of dairy, beef, swine, sheep, goat and other livestock producers in the United States is very concerned about the livestock marketing system in the United States as it directly affects many of our members.

AFBF believes that the basic framework outlined by GIPSA is appropriate. The framework provides the basic structure in which to undertake a study of this magnitude. However, AFBF believes that GIPSA should pursue several other areas of the livestock marketing chain that are of vital interest to those that sell livestock. Specifically, we request that GIPSA include in this study the following items:

- 1) The effects of packer ownership on market performance and price discovery.
- 2) The effects of "terms of contracts" on market performance and price discovery.
- 3) Clarify the distinction between captive supply and packer ownership.
- 4) How retail pricing affects the price received by the producer. This would also entail a thorough study of the factors influencing fluxuations in the farm-wholesale and farm-retail price spreads.
- 5) Possible ways to strengthen anti-trust laws so that they better protect producers from monopsony practices.
- 6) Investigate how packers use captive supplies to influence spot market prices and marketing contract prices. We recognize that regional and species differences exist pertaining to percentages of captive supplies and cash markets.
- 7) Investigate alternative pricing mechanisms which are not easily manipulated so that producers will have a more transparent and effective way to price livestock.



There has been discussion about developing a Committee on Competitiveness within USDA. This Committee should consist of various agricultural organizations, more specifically those representing producers most affected by agricultural marketing practices. AFBF encourages USDA to establish such a committee and to have this committee play an integral part in the oversight of this study. In addition to the Peer Review Committee suggested by GIPSA this Competitiveness Committee could aid in providing GIPSA with guidance as to what aspects of the industry should be studied.

AFBF is also very concerned about the mechanics of the study. Numerous agricultural economists have done studies related to livestock marketing in the past decade. Through these studies these researchers have learned many valuable lessons about how to proceed with a study as extensive as the proposed study. A study that does not include these agriculture economists would most likely be lacking in substance and content. These economists need to be included as either researchers or on the peer review panel. Such economists as:

- Stephen Koontz
 Ag & Resource Economics
 B-313 Clark Building
 Colorado State University
 Ft Collins, CO 80523-1172
 (970) 491-7032
 <u>skoontz@agsci@colostate.edu</u>
- Wayne D. Purcell
 Alumni Distinguished Professor
 Agricultural and Applied Economics
 Virginia Tech
 Blacksburg, VA 24061-0401
 (540) 231-7725
 purcell@vt.edu
- David Anderson
 Department of Agricultural Economics
 Texas A & M University
 Blocker Bldg
 College Station, TX 77843-2124
 (979) 845-8694
 danderson@tamu.edu
- Dillon M. Feuz
 University of Nebraska-Lincoln
 Panhandle Research & Extension Center
 4502 Avenue I
 Scottsbluff, NE 69361
 (308) 632-1232
 dfeuz@unlnotes.unl.edu

• North Dakota State University
Department of Agribusiness and Applied Economics
Note: While remaining interested in all components of the study, of particular interest to the institution are Parts 1, 2 and 5.

Tim Petry
 Associate Professor
 Department of Agribusiness and Applied Economics
 North Dakota State University
 Fargo, North Dakota 58105
 (701) 231-7469
 <u>tpetry@ndsuext.nodak.edu</u>

Cheryl J. Wachenheim
 Associate Professor
 Department of Agribusiness and Applied Economics
 North Dakota State University
 Fargo, North Dakota 58105
 (701) 231-7452
 cwachenh@ndsuext.nodak.edu

Kellie Curry Raper
 Department of Agricultural Economics
 211C Agriculture Hall
 Michigan State University
 East Lansing, MI 48824-1039
 (517) 353-7226
 raperk@pilot.msu.edu

Ted Schroeder
 Associate Professor
 Kansas State University
 219 Waters Hall
 Manhattan, KS 66506-4011
 (785) 532-4488
 tschroed@agecon.ksu.edu

Russell Tronstad
 Department of Agriculture & Resource Economics
 Room 434 Econ Bldg
 The University of Arizona
 Tucson, AZ 85721-0023
 tronstad@Ag.arizona.edu

 Commercial Ag Group at the University of Missouri-Columbia Ron Plain
 Ext Economist
 Department of Agriculture Economics
 220 Munford Hall
 University of Missouri-Columbia
 (573) 882-0134
 PlainR@missouri.edu

In addition, GIPSA already has experience with such studies. In 1996 GIPSA completed the study, "Concentration in the Red Meat Industry," commonly referred to as the "Red Book." The framework with which GIPSA went about obtaining researchers and overseeing the study was the correct way to do such a study. We encourage GIPSA to repeat this model as the successful way to proceed with this study.

While we do not oppose GIPSA utilizing university business schools as resources for this study we do caution that there is a difference between business economics and agricultural economics. Both can bring useful information to the table but livestock marketing is mainly an agricultural economic topic. As such agricultural economics should be the focus of the investigations. Allowing a business school to impose its approach upon the study of livestock marketing is likely to result in misinterpretation of data and the very real possibility of missing important details unique to agricultural markets.

AFBF appreciates this opportunity to submit these comments and we look forward to working with GIPSA as this study progresses.

Sincerely

Richard W. Newpher Executive Director Public Policy